Providence

Providence Digital Commons

Providence Pharmacy PGY1 Program at Providence Portland and Providence St. Vincent Providence Portland and Providence St. Vincent Medical Centers 2023 Medical Centers

2023

Retrospective Review of the utility of MRSA NAAT screening to predict MRSA Infections

Nyles T Fowler Providence Portland Medical Center, Portland, Or, Nyles.Fowler@providence.org

Brent Footer Antimicrobial Stewardship Program, Providence Health and Services, Portland, OR, USA., brent.footer@providence.org

Caitlin Morris Providence, caitlin.morris@providence.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.providence.org/oaa_ppmcstvin_23

Part of the Infectious Disease Commons, Medical Education Commons, and the Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Fowler, Nyles T; Footer, Brent; and Morris, Caitlin, "Retrospective Review of the utility of MRSA NAAT screening to predict MRSA Infections" (2023). *Providence Pharmacy PGY1 Program at Providence Portland and Providence St. Vincent Medical Centers 2023.* 6. https://digitalcommons.providence.org/oaa_ppmcstvin_23/6

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Providence Pharmacy PGY1 Program at Providence Portland and Providence St. Vincent Medical Centers at Providence Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Providence Pharmacy PGY1 Program at Providence Portland and Providence St. Vincent Medical Centers 2023 by an authorized administrator of Providence Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@providence.org.

Providence

Retrospective review of the utility of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) Nucleic Acid Amplification Test (NAAT) screening to predict MRSA infections



Caitlin Morris, PharmD; Nyles Fowler, PharmD, BCPS; Brent Footer, PharmD, BCPS; Greg Tallman, PharmD, MS, BCPS, BCIDP

Background

• Appropriate and timely antibiotic de-escalation is a cornerstone of antimicrobial stewardship. Cultures and sensitivities may not be known for up to 96 hours, exposing patients to broad-spectrum antibiotics.¹

•The MRSA NAAT is a screening tool that is used to detect nasal colonization of MRSA and has been shown to have a strong negative predictive value (NPV) for MRSA pneumonia in multiple studies.²⁻⁵

• In a retrospective study of patients with suspected MRSA pneumonia, utilization of MRSA NAAT was associated with

• Difference in prevale • Patients may be • Lower prevalen							
Predictive Value for A				Prevalence			
•NPV of 98.2% is simi •As expected, specific •Consistent with othe	alence	Prev	Number Positive for MRSA 491		Number 4296	TestMRSA NAAT w/ corresponding clinical culture	
<u>Predictive Value for D</u> •The majority of cultu pulmonary, urinary,	L.4%	11					
 NPV was 94-99% For wound cultures, to lower prevalence Strong predictor that 	9% •NPV was 94-9 •For wound cu to lower preva		209		4296	Clinical culture	
 For abdominal cultu Small sample size fo strength of NPV 							
•For CNS, there were		/	V, and NP	pecificity, PP	ensitivity, S	S	
 Unable to calculate s Limits strength of NF 	Accuracy (95% CI)	NPV, (95% CI)	PPV (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Number	Culture Source
 For unknown culture of these cultures is r 	90.3% (89.3-91.1)	98.2% (97.7-98.6)	28.7% (24.8-32.9)	91.4% (90.5-92.3)	67.5% (60.7-73.8)	4296	All sources
 WebIntelligence Only able to pull dat Limits sample size 	89% (87.4-90.4)	99.1% (98.5-99.5)	13.8% (9.4-19.3)	89.5% (87.9-90.9)	68.3% (51.9-81.9)	1702	Blood
 Unable to identify ty Certain culture type 	94.6% (92.8-96)	98.9% (97.8-99.5)	55% (43.5-66.2)	95.2% (93.5-96.6)	84.6% (71.9-93.1)	809	Pulmonary
•Limits ability to inter	90.2% (88-92.2)	99.9% (99.2-100)	7.3% (2.7-15.2)	90.3% (88-92.3)	85.7% (42.1-99.6)	789	Urine
•Confirm culture type samples	88.6% (86-90.8)	94.1% (91.9-95.8)	54.1% (43.7-64.2)	92.8% (90.4-94.7)	59.6% (48.6-69.8)	710	Wound
 Repeat calculations types Share results with in impact on practice 	86.9% (80.5-91.8)	94.7% (89.4-97.8)	38.1% (18.1-61.6)	90.6% (84.4-94.9)	53.3% (26.6-78.7)	153	Unknown
	94.5% (86.6-98.5)	95.8% (88.3-99.1)	0% (0-97.5)	98.6% (92.3-100)	0% (0-70.8)	73	Intra- abdominal
 Parente DM, Cunha CB, Myloresistant Staphylococcus aur diagnostic meta-analysis wit 67:1–7. Dangerfield B, Chung A, Web Staphylococcus aureus (MRS Agents Chemother. 2014;58) Smith MN, Brotherton AL, Lu Clinical Utility of Methicillin- MRSA Pneumonia. Ann Phar 	93.6% (82.5-98.7)	100% (92-100)	0% (0-70.8)	93.6% (82.5-98.7)	NA	47	Central nervous system (CNS)
	92.3% (64-99.8)	100 (69.2-100)	66.7% (9.4-99.2)	90.9% (58.7-99.8)	100% (15.8-100)	13	Miscellaneous

Discussion

Results

nce between MRSA NAAT and culture asymptomatic carriers ce helps strengthen NPV

Sources

ar to other retrospective studies ity and NPV are high studies

approximately 2 days less of empiric antibiotic therapy.⁶

•There are fewer retrospective studies on the utility and NPV for other infection types, such as skin and soft tissue infections and intra-abdominal infections. •A small prospective cohort study looking at MRSA NAATs for patients in the emergency department with skin and soft tissue infections found that the MRSA NAAT was a better predictor of MRSA infection than risk factors for MRSA. In this study, the NPV was found to be 72.8%.⁷

•Conversely, a large retrospective cohort study of 200,000 patients found that MRSA NAAT had a NPV greater than 90% for most types of infections, skin and soft tissue infections.⁵

• Discordance is likely due to the differences in prevalence of MRSA in different regions. NPV is driven by prevalence, and MRSA NAAT screening is considered to have a stronger NPV in areas with a low incidence of MRSA.³

•There are less data to understand predictive value of MRSA NAAT screening in the Oregon region given the lack of studies in this area. The purpose of this study is to identify the prevalence of MRSA in the Oregon region as well as the NPV of MRSA NAAT screening, eliciting its utility in predicting MRSA infection and optimizing antimicrobial therapy.

ifferent Culture Sources re sources were blood, followed by and wound NPV may be stronger in this region due infection is not MRSA

es, NPV was still high at 95% cultures of abdominal source limits

no positive MRSA cultures ensitivity

s, NPV was 94.7%, but the exact source ot yet known

Limitations

for previous 15 months

be of wound culture based on report

Objectives

Primary Outcome

• Evaluate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and NPV of MRSA NAAT to predict MRSA infections within a regional health system in Oregon

Secondary Outcome

•Evaluate the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of MRSA NAAT to predict MRSA infections for specific culture sources within a regional health system in Oregon

Methods

<u>Study Design</u> •Retrospective study

Inclusion Criteria

- •Age 18 years or older
- •Admitted to a regional health system in Oregon between
- 6/30/2021 and 10/24/2022
- MRSA NAAT collected
- •Corresponding culture collected within 7 days of MRSA NAAT collection

s have small sample sizes pret NPV

Next Steps

- in unknown and miscellaneous
- o finalize NPV for different culture

fectious disease clinicians to determine

References

nakis E, Timbrook TT. The clinical utility of methicillineus (MRSA) nasal screening to rule out MRSA pneumonia: a antimicrobial stewardship implications. Clin Infect Dis 2018;

- B, Seville MT. Predictive value of methicillin-resistant () nasal swab PCR assay for MRSA pneumonia. Antimicrob 2):859-864. doi:10.1128/AAC.01805-13
- sardi K, Tan CA, Hammond DA. Systematic Review of the esistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) Nasal Screening for nacother. 2019;53(6):627-638.)27
- 4. Smith MN, Erdman MJ, Ferreira JA, Aldridge P, Jankowski CA. Clinical utility of methicillin-

Exclusion Criteria

•Rejected or inconclusive MRSA NAAT •Rejected or inconclusive culture

Data Collection

•Retrospective report using WebIntelligence

<u>Data Analysis</u>

•Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and NPV were calculated using a script written in R •Exact binomial method was used to calculate 95% confidence intervals

resistant Staphylococcus aureus nasal polymerase chain reaction assay in critically ill patients with nosocomial pneumonia. J Crit Care 2017; 38:168–71. 5. Mergenhagen KA, Starr KE, Wattengel BA, Lesse AJ, Sumon Z, Sellick JA. Determining the Utility of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Nares Screening in Antimicrobial Stewardship. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(5):1142-1148. doi:10.1093/cid/ciz974 6. Baby N, Faust AC, Smith T, Sheperd LA, Knoll L, Goodman EL. Nasal methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) PCR testing reduces the duration of MRSA-targeted therapy in patients with suspected MRSA pneumonia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2017; 61:1-8.

7. Acquisto NM, Bodkin RP, Brown JE, et al. MRSA nares swab is a more accurate predictor of MRSA wound infection compared with clinical risk factors in emergency department patients with skin and soft tissue infections. Emerg Med J. 2018;35(6):357-360. doi:10.1136/emermed-2017-206843