Providence

Providence Digital Commons

Articles, Abstracts, and Reports

2024

Implementation of Charting Guidelines: The Impact on a **Telemetry Unit**

McKenna Freed Providence Sacred Heart Medial Center, Spokane, WA.

Kai Stein

Providence Alaska Medical Center, Anchorage, AK.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.providence.org/publications



Part of the Health Information Technology Commons, and the Nursing Commons

Recommended Citation

Freed, McKenna and Stein, Kai, "Implementation of Charting Guidelines: The Impact on a Telemetry Unit" (2024). Articles, Abstracts, and Reports. 9254.

https://digitalcommons.providence.org/publications/9254

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by Providence Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles, Abstracts, and Reports by an authorized administrator of Providence Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@providence.org.



Providence Implementation of Charting Guidelines: The Impact on a Telemetry Unit



McKenna Freed, BSN, RN & Kai Stein, BSN, RN

Background

- New nurses and nurse residents did not have a clearly outlined policy of required documentation for the adult Head-to Toe Patient Care flowsheet
- Manzione, et al. (2020) revealed that 12% of nurses said a lack of proficiency and training in the EHR contributed to their burnout
- Nurses spend 19% to 35% of their time charting (Collins et al., 2018)
- Charting without clear expectations leads to over charting, decreased time for patient care, decreased nurse satisfaction

Purpose

- Improve charting efficiency 0
- Improve nurse satisfaction with charting
- Provide staff with clear 0 charting expectations for a telemetry unit

Methods

- Two reference guides were developed for nurses based on policy documentation standards
- The guidelines were delivered to nurses via email, shift huddle, and printed resource folders at the nurses' station
- Data was collected anonymous using a mixed-method survey served as the main source of data collection
- Pre and post implementation surveys were sent to nursing staff via email and QR code posters

CHARTING GUIDELINE OUTCOMES 2.00

Results

33 pre-guideline responses 51 post-guideline responses

- 80% of respondents who viewed the guidelines reported the intervention changed their charting practice
- Significant decrease in average perceived time charting in flowsheets (-2.23, p=0.02)
- Significant increase in charting satisfaction (2.74, p=0.008)

Discussion

Positives

- Nurses preferred resource folder format
- Improved charting satisfaction after guideline implementation

Barriers

- High nurse turnover rate 0
- Difficulty reading small fonts 0
- Competing priorities 0
- EPIC changes alter flowsheet layout
- Guidelines did not impact reported over time spent charting

Providence

Admission	Q1 or more	Q2H	Q4H	Q Shift	Q24H	Misc., Changes, Transfer
Admit Dots (ALL) — Dots will be red until fully completed. If found undone call "Beeper nurse" if unable to complete Within 4 Hours: VS — (Temp, Pulse, RR, BP, 02 Saturation) Head to Toe PCS Height Weight Pain Assessment 2 RN skin check — Braden Scale CAM PTA meds Fall Risk Assessment PTA Meds, allergies, immunization, Medical history Latex Screen Pregnancy Screen Within 24 Hours: Sepsis Screening Patient Profile Patient Education Plan of Care Suicide Risk	Behavioral restraints Q 15 Focused Assessment Upon assumption of care within 15 minutes Provider Critical value Notification within 60 minutes.	Restraints Non-behavioral Quick Chart: Patient turns if unable able reposition self	VS (Temp, Pulse, RR, BP, 02 Saturation) + Pain If LVAD: flows, Pl, and speed I/Os (document 0 if no input or output)	Head to Toe assessment within 2 hours Braden Scale Fall risk Vascular site assessment including phlebitis and infiltration. Volume infused & pump clear (Alaris pump infusion verify). Care Plan with Note Education Isolation ED Restraint CHG Foley Care before 1000 & 2200 Telemetry: Cardiac Rhythm QRS measurement if applicable Temporary pacemaker Driveline assessment if LVAD	W/ all Foley & CES: CHG Bath (Day) Unen change ADL: all patients PRN Brush teeth Shave Rrush hair CHG bath PRN Daily weight — HF patients at 0400 LVAD alarm check	Other: Nutrition risk: Q4 days Skin assessment if outside unit for >4 hours. Blood charge after administration Pain: 30 minutes after IV medication, 1 ty after PO medication Nutrition: Q meal Diet type % easter Q 8 brs Tube Feeding + Formula type strength, Rate & Residual Changes: Tube feed and titration Cardiac Rhythm Changes CAM Focused Assessment Transfer (room or unit): Belongings Skin assessment Discharge documentation Discharge note Resolve care plan
atient Profile Ou	tline:					Education Print AVS
Patient kno Health histo Values, heli Role relatio Preferred la is provided. Advance Di Nutrition ris Functionals Risk assess Discharge p	wledge and percory and review of efs and spiritual nships and living inguage for patie rectives sk screening (not status screening ment for violence lanning needs as	f systems considerations (not environment (not r ents and companion required for outpat (not required for ad in the home ssessment including	status and potenti required for adult of equired for adult of s when discussing the tilents unless indical fult outpatients unleanticipated change	ted) ess indicated)	cated)	
 TF med cups/syr CT dressing - Q : 	ing - Q 96 Hours tions - Q 12 H (24 H d system) - Q 24 ringes – Q 24H 3 days		pag			

Implications for **Practice**

- Include guidelines in orientation for new hires
- Adaptable resource for other MedSurg units
- Consider other methods for 0 reducing over time related to documentation

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Katie Kjeldgaard, MSN, RN, CCRN, NPD-BC and Teresa Rangel, PhD, MSN, RN